# Town Board Minutes The Municipal Review Committee

October 17, 2016

Meeting No. 30

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, acting as the Municipal Review Committee, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of October 2016, at 6:00 PM and there were

**PRESENT**: JOHN ABRAHAM, COUNCIL MEMBER

DAWN GACZEWSKI, COUNCIL MEMBER RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER MATTHEW WALTER, COUNCIL MEMBER

JOHANNA COLEMAN, SUPERVISOR

ANTHONY GORSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

JOSEPH KEEFE, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

KRISTIN MCCRACKEN, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER NEIL CONNELLY, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

**ABSENT:** REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: DIANE TERRANOVA, TOWN CLERK

KEVIN LOFTUS, TOWN ATTORNEY

MATTHEW FISCHIONE, CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

ROBERT HARRIS, ENGINEER, WM. SCHUTT & ASSOCIATES

## **PURPOSE OF MEETING:**

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for two (2) actions.

# IN THE MATTER OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW (SEQR) OF THE

#### JOE BASIL CHEVROLET RESALE CENTER RENOVATIONS

The Municipal Review Committee reviewed the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Joe Basil Chevrolet Resale Center Renovations matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled "Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude" which was provided to each member.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster, acting as an advisory committee to provide input to the Town Board, the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an **unlisted action**, and that committee recommends that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts relative to the criteria found in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, and further recommends that the lead agency issue a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with § 617.12.

## NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Lancaster Town Board 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Kevin Loftus, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

## NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 1.16 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 5111 Transit Road, Depew, New York 14043, Erie County.

This project is described as renovations to the existing used car sales facility to include construction of new walls to enclose the area under the existing canopy roof.

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS OFFERED BY COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN, TO WIT:

That the Municipal Review Committee has reviewed the potential environmental impacts associated with the Joe Basil Chevrolet Resale Center Renovations project identified in the Environmental Assessment Form, and, under the criteria for determining significance identified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1) and in accordance with 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(2) and (3) for the reasons indicated below based on the discussion of each criterion specified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1), the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and therefore recommends that the Town Board finds that there are no significant adverse impacts from the proposed project, and issue the following Negative Declaration.

#### REASONS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Impact on land No impact.
- 2. Impact on Geological Features No impact.
- 3. Impacts on Surface Water No impact.
- 4. Impact on Groundwater No impact.
- 5. Impact on Flooding No impact.
  - It is noted that this is in contradiction to the applicants EAF. The project is not within a 500 year floodplain.
- 6. Impact on Air No Impact.
- 7. Impact on Plants and Animals No impact.
- 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources No impact.
- 9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources No impact.
- 10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources No impact.
- 11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation No impact.
- 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas N/A
  - The Town of Lancaster has not established a Critical Environmental Area (CEA).
- 13. Impact on Transportation No impact.
- 14. Impact on Energy No impact.
- 15. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light No impact.
- 16. Impact on Human Health No impact.
- 17. Consistency with Community Plans No impact.
- 18. Consistency with Community Character No impact.

and.

# **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the MRC's recommendation be sent to the Town Board, for its review and consideration as the lead agency for the Action.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Recommendation was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS AB	SENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The Motion to Recommend was thereupon adopted.

October 17, 2016

# IN THE MATTER OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW (SEQR) OF THE

#### **ROYAL CAR WASH**

The Municipal Review Committee reviewed the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Royal Car Wash matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled "Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude" which was provided to each member.

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE,** that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster, acting as an advisory committee to provide input to the Town Board, the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an **Type 1**, and that committee recommends that there are no significant adverse environmental impacts relative to the criteria found in 6 NYCRR § 617.7, and further recommends that the lead agency issue a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with § 617.12.

#### NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Lancaster Town Board 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Kevin Loftus, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

## NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 1.3 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 6645 Transit Road, Williamsville, New York 14221, Erie County.

This project is described as rezoning of 1.3 acres from GB to CMS to support construction of a 3,600 square foot car wash and associated infrastructure including parking & landscaping. The site is on the east side of Transit Road, 500' north of I-90.

THE FOLLOWING MOTION WAS OFFERED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM, TO WIT:

That the Municipal Review Committee has reviewed the potential environmental impacts associated with the Royal Car Wash project identified in the Environmental Assessment Form, and, under the criteria for determining significance identified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1) and in accordance with 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(2) and (3) for the reasons indicated below based on the discussion of each criterion specified in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c)(1), the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and therefore recommends that the Town Board finds that there are no significant adverse impacts from the proposed project, and issue the following Negative Declaration.

## REASONS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Impact on land Small impact
  - The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within five feet of existing ground surface.
- 2. Impact on Geological Features No impact.
- 3. Impacts on Surface Water No impact.
- 4. Impact on Groundwater No impact.
- 5. Impact on Flooding No impact.
- 6. Impact on Air No impact.
- 7. Impact on Plants and Animals No impact.
- 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources No impact.
- 9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources No impact.
- 10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources Small impact.
  - The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.
- 11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation No impact.
- 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas N/A
  - The Town of Lancaster has not established a Critical Environmental Area (CEA).
- 13. Impact on Transportation No impact.
  - It is noted that northbound traffic only can enter from Transit Road.
- 14. Impact on Energy No impact.
- 15. Impact on Noise, Odor and Light No impact.
- 16. Impact on Human Health No impact.

## 17. Consistency with Community Plans – No impact.

• It is noted that this parcel needs to be rezoned from GB (General Business) to CMS (Commercial Motor Service)

## 18. Consistency with Community Character – No Impact.

and,

## **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the MRC's recommendation be sent to the Town Board, for its review and consideration as the lead agency for the Action.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Recommendation was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS AB	SENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The Motion to Recommend was thereupon adopted.

October 17, 2016

## ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION OF COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER ABRAHAM	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GACZEWSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER WALTER	VOTED	YES
SUPERVISOR COLEMAN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS AB	SENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GORSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KEEFE	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MCCRACKEN	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN CONNELLY	VOTED	YES

The meeting was adjourned at 6:18 P.M.

Signed \_\_\_\_\_\_ Diane M. Terranova, Town Clerk